




Archibute Project Managers - Quantity Surveys  
100, The Quadrant  
Leicester LE1 7 7JA  
Tel: 0116 204 5000, Fax: 0116 204 5001  
email: [alsipn@igs.co.uk](mailto:alsipn@igs.co.uk), [www.igs.co.uk](http://www.igs.co.uk)

Project:	A development at Marston Priory
Client:	Marston Oak Homes
Site Ref:	Site Boundary Plan
Ref:	7702 025A
Scale:	1:500 @ A1
Date:	20/11/12
Drawn:	BS
Checked:	RAW

All measurements are taken from the 1980 datum unless otherwise stated. The accuracy of the measurements is stated on the drawing. The drawings are for information only and do not constitute a contract. The drawings are the property of IG Surveyors and should not be used for any other purpose without the written consent of IG Surveyors.



Site Boundary  
Land Owned



Revision: 0/00

- 1 Approved for all other comments
- 2 Approved for all other comments
- 3 Approved for all other comments
- 4 Approved for all other comments
- 5 Approved for all other comments
- 6 Approved for all other comments
- 7 Approved for all other comments
- 8 Approved for all other comments
- 9 Approved for all other comments
- 10 Approved for all other comments



Each block is to be built in accordance with the following programme of works:

- 1. Foundations
- 2. Ground floor
- 3. First floor
- 4. Second floor
- 5. Third floor
- 6. Fourth floor
- 7. Fifth floor
- 8. Sixth floor
- 9. Seventh floor
- 10. Eighth floor
- 11. Ninth floor
- 12. Tenth floor

**Legend**

- Existing buildings
- Proposed buildings
- Proposed parking
- Proposed landscaping
- Proposed roads
- Proposed footpaths
- Proposed cycle paths
- Proposed drainage
- Proposed water supply
- Proposed sewerage
- Proposed electricity
- Proposed gas
- Proposed telecommunications

**Schedule of Accommodation**

Block	Area	Units
Block 1	1000 sq m	10
Block 2	1200 sq m	12
Block 3	1400 sq m	14
Block 4	1600 sq m	16
Block 5	1800 sq m	18
Block 6	2000 sq m	20
Block 7	2200 sq m	22
Block 8	2400 sq m	24
Block 9	2600 sq m	26
Block 10	2800 sq m	28
<b>Total</b>	<b>18000 sq m</b>	<b>180</b>



Quantity Surveyors  
 1st Floor  
 Leathers, LE1 2JA  
 Tel: 0116 204 5900, Fax: 0116 204 5901  
 email: [enquiries@pqp.co.uk](mailto:enquiries@pqp.co.uk), [www.pqp.co.uk](http://www.pqp.co.uk)

Project: A development at Mircham Priory  
 Client: Mircham Priory  
 Site: Mircham Priory  
 Street: 8th Floor  
 Ref: 7702 0431  
 Date: 13/03/01  
 Scale: 1:250 @ A1  
 Drawn: BS  
 Checked: RAY

North Arrow

Scale

1:250 @ A1

13/03/01

BS

RAY

8th Floor

Mircham Priory

Leathers, LE1 2JA

0116 204 5900

enquiries@pqp.co.uk

www.pqp.co.uk

Quantity Surveyors

1st Floor

Project: A development at Mircham Priory

Client: Mircham Priory

Site: Mircham Priory

Street: 8th Floor

Ref: 7702 0431

Date: 13/03/01

Scale: 1:250 @ A1

Drawn: BS

This document is the property of Quantity Surveyors. It is not to be used for any other purpose without the written consent of Quantity Surveyors. The copyright in this document is owned by Quantity Surveyors. All rights reserved.



Revision

Date


- A. Amended to suit site plan 19/11/12 BS
- B. Amended to suit site plan 19/04/13 BS
- C. Amended to suit site plan 04/05/13 BS



Street Elevation A



Street Elevation B



Street Elevation C




Architects - Project Managers - Quantity Surveyors  
 130 New Walk  
 Leicester, LE1 7JA  
 Tel: 0116 204 5800, Fax: 0116 204 5801  
 email: design@rg-p.co.uk, www.rg-p.co.uk

Project	A development at Marcham Priory Marcham
Client	Manor Oak Homes
Sheet title	Street Elevations
Ref.	7702 022C
Scale	1:200 = 1:1250 @ A2
Date	05/11/12
Drawn	BS
Checked	RAW


All drawings to be checked on site. This drawing is the copyright of the architect, reproduced with permission of H&S/Crown Copyright reserved.  
 1999 Ltd. Trading as RGP.

Revisions:


Date:




Front Elevation




Rear Elevation




Side Elevation



Side Elevation



First Floor




Ground Floor



Architects · Project Managers · Quantity Surveyors  
 130 New Walk  
 Leicester, LE1 7JA  
 Tel: 0116 204 5800, Fax: 0116 204 5801  
 email: design@rg-p.co.uk, www.rg-p.co.uk

Project:	A development at Marcham Priory
Client:	Manor Oak Homes
Sheet No.:	Plot 8
Ref.:	7702 047
Scale:	1:100 @ A2
Date:	04/06/13
Drawn:	BS
Checked:	RAW

All dimensions to be checked on site. This drawing is the copyright of the Architect. It is to be used for the project only and is not to be reproduced without the written permission of the Architect. Copyright reserved.



Based upon the ORDNANCE SURVEY MAPS with the permission of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown Copyright All Rights Reserved. © David Tucker Associates

REV	DESCRIPTION	DRAWN	CHKD	DATE	DRAWING STATUS	CHECKED BY	DATE
3	PROPOSED FOOTWAY AT LHM	BP	BP	03/06/13			

JOB TITLE: Priority Lane, Marcham  
 CLIENT: Manor Dak Hones  
 DRAWING TITLE: Proposed Footway  
 SCALE: 1/500@A3  
 DRAWN BY: BP  
 DATE: 03/06/13  
 DRAWING NO: 14123-03  
 REVISION: B

david tucker associates  
 FORMERLY dtk ASSOCIATES  
 Foregate House, Bevington Lane,  
 Wokingham, RG40 3JW  
 Tel: 01494 452300  
 Fax: 01494 452301  
 www.davidtucker.co.uk

**APPLICATION WEB COMMENTS FORM****Information available for public inspection and available on our website****Location :** Land to the North of Priory Lane Priory Lane Marcham Oxfordshire**Proposal :** Proposed development of 18no. dwellings with garages, access road, associated works, public open space and a detention basin. (Re-submission of withdrawn application P12/V2447/FUL.**Application Reference :** P13/V0859/FUL - 1

Please complete

<b>Your name :</b>	Marcham Parish Council
<b>Your address :</b>	ORCHARD HOUSE 90 HOWARD CORNISH ROAD MARCHAM ABINGDON OX13 6PU
<b>Date :</b>	22 May 2013
<b>Response :</b>	Refused

Use the space below for your comments

The Parish Council has considered the amendments to the application. It still considers that its earlier objections are valid. It has again unanimously voted to object to the application. In its earlier letter it stated that there have been two previously refused applications on part of the site which is subject to the current application, one of those also refused on appeal. At that time, albeit 18 years ago, the Parish Council stated that the character of the site on which the development is being proposed is an important visual and natural amenity for the village. As an undeveloped site it contributes significantly to the physical structure and character of the village. The site is directly in line of view from the A415 entering Marcham and impacts on everyone passing. Development here, would devalue the character of Marcham. This statement is still very true and valid today. The open area, south of the A415 plays an important part in creating the rural feel and adds to the importance of The Priory and its setting.

It is noted that the development is further away from the A415 and the access drive to The Priory, but it has now been squashed into the Western side of the site causing great impact on the existing dwellings in Priory Lane and The Green. The outlook for these properties is not maintained, and the proposed new dwellings impact on the amenities enjoyed by the adjacent houses.

The proposed access to the site is off the outer edge of a 90 bend on the A415. There is a constant volume of all types of traffic including private cars, vans, lorries, buses, coaches, Army transporters going to and from Dalton Barracks and HGVs. The traffic using this A road is both East and West bound. The access is proposed at a point where there is restricted visibility. Traffic travelling Eastwards turning into the site would be obliged to pause to give way to vehicles travelling Westwards. There would be lack of adequate visibility around the bend. Given the volume of traffic each day which amounts to several thousand vehicles, queues would quickly form around the tight bends in the centre of the village. This is at a point where there is no footway and pedestrians can be in the road. Similarly vehicles leaving the proposed development site intending to turn Eastwards would not have sufficient sight lines to make exiting an easy and safe manoeuvre. You will be aware of the plans for a Marcham By Pass. The Authorities have already agreed for the need to divert the traffic away from the pinch points and bends. This development proposal will add to the existing problems.

There is reference in the planning statement at point 2.2. to Priory Lane having access off the A415. This is not the case. The Priory itself has a Packhorse Lane address. Priory Lane is a short cul de sac off Mill Road.

The bus stops for Abingdon, Oxford and Wantage are on the northern side of the village. Similarly crossing the A415 road for pedestrians, particularly north to south, will be fraught with dangers. As stated above, there is no visibility around the bends in the road. It is extremely unlikely that those on foot would walk several hundred metres out of their way to a safer crossing point with traffic lights.

It is noted that the Countryside Officer states that the field does not contain any rare or protected species. It may be correct that there are no species specifically protected by legislation other than the bats in the area, but the field has been open meadow for a considerable number of years and has numerous identifiable wild flowers, birds and butterflies throughout the seasons. As such the habitat area is worthy of preservation. It is difficult to see how losing the meadow and replacing it with bricks, concrete and tarmac will enhance the site for biodiversity.

Drainage was an issue raised with the Parish Council by local residents. There have been recent problems with the pumping station in Marcham and tankers have been used to transport waste to Gozzards Ford Treatment Works. You will recall in the application for housing in the Anson field, Thames Water Utilities identified an inability of the existing waste water infrastructure to accommodate the needs of that application. It is understood that the public sewer is at capacity and there are 4 houses at CotSDale, plus 8 houses at The Croft, all of which have recently connected into it. Serious doubts exist as to the ability of the sewage system to be able to satisfactorily accommodate any more new dwellings. Thames Water Utilities was consulted by the Vale of White Horse District Council as part of the site screening process under the proposed Interim Housing Supply Policy. Its response, as far as any further



development in Marcham was concerned, was that the capacity of the Gozzards Ford Pumping Station would require checking as Thames Water Utilities itself had doubts.

Equally surface water too could present a problem. Priory Lane itself is very low lying and suffers from flooding during periods of heavy rainfall. Water has entered the cottage at the end of the lane (no. 5) in times past. The Council is not convinced that the proposed drainage system at the Eastern side of the site would fully compensate for the loss of the permeable drainage area. Although the field slopes down to the East, the section of the field to the West is substantially higher than Priory Lane, and no. 5 Priory Lane is actually below the level of the road itself. There is the potential to increase flood risk to these properties and a development of this scale on this site would put existing properties at risk.

Marcham is in the process of undertaking a Community Led Plan. A questionnaire has been circulated around parishioners and initial analysis of the responses to questions on housing indicates that out of 980 adults who responded, 473 wish there to be no more housing built in Marcham over the next 10 years, and a further 233 wish there to be no more than 20 new houses built over the next 10 years. Thus 72% of residents want an average of no more than 2 new houses built each year in the village. It is clear that building 19 houses in a single development would be contrary to the expressed wishes of a large majority of the village.

Also of concern is the fact that the village primary school is already full to capacity, so that this development would mean that some local youngsters will be unable to attend their local school. The scale of any s106 funds for education is not likely to provide sufficient additional classroom space for the number of extra children. This would suggest that the proposal is not sustainable since it would do demonstrable harm to the existing community.

Should the Vale of White Horse District Council be minded to grant consent then protection for residents should be afforded somehow, to ensure that the developer and utility authorities have responsibility should there be surcharging with sewage or problems caused by surface water flooding.

The Parish Council has received comments from local residents regarding the proposed materials and overlooking. The materials chosen include black wood. The area comprises lighter coloured materials such as stone and buff brick. Again, if the Vale of White Horse District Council is minded to grant consent then the Parish Council would request that the materials be predominantly cotswold stone.

The rights of way footpath across the field has existing disability access gates at either end, funded and provided by the Parish Council. Should the development be granted consent, then there is a requirement to ensure that there is continued access for the disabled and those with mobility scooters.

The Parish Council has been working recently with the County Council to



provide a crossing point over the A415 near to the junctions of North Street and Mill Road. Whilst some funding is available to provide a zebra crossing, the preference of residents is for a pelican crossing. Again should consent for the development be granted, then highway funding from the scheme under any s. 106 agreement should be allocated specifically for the village of Marcham

One major concern with the revised scheme is the lack of separate footway within the site for pedestrians and that the plan shows a shared surface. The site is likely to be occupied, should it be developed, by families, and the chances of young children in the street are quite high. There are inherent dangers with a shared surface, and the Parish Council would ask, that the separate footway as shown on the first application be reinstated.

A second major concern with the revised scheme is the lack of footway from the entrance to the site, to the traffic light crossing over the A415, eastwards of the entrance. The original scheme showed a footway link. The village school, churches, shop, recreation facilities and bus stops are all on the northern side of the A415. Primary school children will not be able to walk to school within the village, in safety, unless the footway alongside the A415 to the traffic lights, as shown on the first application is reinstated.

In conclusion the Parish Council objects to the application owing to:

- the development's impact on the entrance point to the village, the setting of The Priory and conservation area
- the fact that it is not in the existing built up area of the village
- the access point onto the A415 and the impact for the safety of pedestrians crossing and vehicles both entering and leaving the site together with the knock on effect on existing traffic flows on the A415.
- the impact on the wildlife and general environment
- the effect on the drainage and increased flood potential
- the loss of amenity, overshadowing and impact on existing dwellings.
- No safe route for pedestrians along the A415 or within the site itself.